Answers for Problems Assigned Lecture 9

I. Review Problems

A. Let R be the "reward from mutual cooperation," T be the "temptation of defecting from mutual cooperation," S be the "suckers payoff" if a cooperator is exploited by a defector, and P be the "Punishment from mutual defection." Show that in a two person game, relative payoffs of the ordinal ranking T > R > P > S is sufficient to generate a prisoner's dilemma with mutual defection as the Nash equilibrium.



- ii. First, note that T > R and P > S is sufficient for each player to have a dominant strategy of "defection."
- iii. The dominate strategies imply a Nash equilibrium of Mutual Defection, which yields a payoff of (P, P).
- iv. Second, note that there is a dilemma at the Nash equilibrium in that a Pareto Superior move exists. Since R>P, both players prefer the equilibrium of mutual cooperation to that of mutual defection.
- v. These are the essential features of a Prisoner's Dilemma problem. QED
- **B.** Suppose that the inverse demand curve for a good is P = 100 Q and that there are two producers. Acme has a total cost curve equal to C = 5Q and Apex has a total cost curve of C = 10 Q. Each firm controls its own output. Prices are determined by their combined production. *Characterize the Cournot-Nash equilibrium to this game*.
- i. Characterize the profit function for one of the firms:
 - a. $\Pi = PQ_1 C_1 = (100 Q_1 Q_2)Q_1 5Q_1$ (for Acme)
- b. Differentiating with respect to Q yields:
- c. $100 Q_2 2Q_1 5 = 0$ which implies that $Q_1^* = (95 Q_2)/2$

- ii. Repeat this for the other firm:
- a. $\Pi = PQ_1 C_1 = (100 Q_1 Q_2)Q_1 10Q_1$ (for Apex)
- b. Differentiating with respect to Q yields:
- c. $100 Q_2 2Q_1 10 = 0$ which implies that $Q_2^* = (90 Q_1)/2$
- d. (Note that this is not a symmetric game so their best reply functions are a bit different from one another.)
- iii. To find the Cournot-Nash Equilibrium, find where the two best reply functions can be satisfied simultaneously, e. g. where they intersect.
- a. Substituting iic into ic yields:
- b. $Q_1^{**} = (95 [(90 Q_1^{**})/2])/2$ or cross multiplying by 2 and gathering terms
- c. $(50 + Q_1^{**}/2) = 2 Q_1^{**}$ or
- d. $(3/2)Q_1^{**} = 50$ which implies that
- e. $Q_1^{**} = 33.33$
- f. And, since $Q_2^{**} = (90 Q_1^{**})/2$, $Q_2^{**} = (90-33.33)/2 = 28.33$
- **C.** Suppose that there are two neighbors each of whom enjoy playing their own music loudly enough to annoy the other. Each maximizes a utility function defined over other consumption, C, the volume of their own noise, and that of their neighbor's (a bad), $U_1 = u(C_1, N_1, N_2)$. Each has a budget constraint of the form , $Y_i = C_i + N_i$.
- i. Characterize each neighbor's reaction function, and determine its slope.
- a. Use the substitution method to imbed the constraint into the utility function
- b. $U_1 = u(Y_1 N_1, N_1, N_2)$
- c. Differentiate with respect to control variable $N_{\rm 1}$. (Remember that Y and $N_{\rm 2}$ are exogenous for Mr. 1)
- d. $dU/dC_1 = U_{C1}(-1) + U_{N1} = 0 \equiv H$
- e. so $N_1^* = n_1(Y, N_2)$ (This is Mr. 1's best reply or reaction function.)
- f. The reaction function for Mr 2 can be derived in the same way: $N_2{}^{\ast}$ = $n_2(Y,\,N_1)$

- g. At the Nash equilibrium, $N_1^{**} = c_1(Y, N_2^{**})$ and $N_2^{**} = c_2(Y, N_1^{**})$ where $C_1^{**} = Y N_1^{**}$ and $C_2^{**} = Y N_2^{**}$
- h. That is to say at the Nash equilibria, both perons 1 and 2 will be simultaneously maximizing their utility, given equilibrium behavior of the other.
- ii. The slope of Mr 1's reaction function is the effect that an increase in N2 has on his own optimal noise production.
- a. This is can be determined using the implicit function differentiation rule (on ie and id).

b.
$$N_{1 N2} = H_{N2} / -H_C = [U_{C1N2} (-1) + U_{N1N2}] / - [U_{C1C1} - 2U_{N1C1} + U_{N1N1}] > 0$$

if $U_{\rm C1N1} > 0 \ \ \text{,} \ \ U_{\rm N1N1} < 0 \ \text{and} \ \ U_{\rm C1C1} < 0$

and $U_{C1N2} < 0$ and $U_{N1N2} > 0$.

- c. (Only if the cross partials of the numerator have opposite signs can this slope be signed. It is likely that $U_{N1N2} > 0$ since the value of one's own noise (music) tends to increase its marginal value as a mask for other noises when the nieghbors are being loud. $U_{C1N2} < 0$ seems natural if you think of "eating" while obnoxious music is playing, e. g. the obnoxious music would reduce the marginal utility of eating.)
- d. Given this geometry, the reaction curve of Mr 1 is upward sloping (e. g. he produces more noise) as his income increases.
- iii. What happens to neighbor 1's reaction function (N_1^*) if his income increases?
- a. This is can be determined using the implicit function differentiation rule (on ie and id).
- b. $N_{1Y} = H_Y / -H_C = [U_{C1C1}(-1) + U_{N1C1}] / [U_{C1C1} 2U_{N1C1} + U_{N1N1}] > 0$

if
$$U_{C1N1} > 0$$
, $U_{N1N1} < 0$ and $U_{C1C1} < 0$

- c. So Mr 1's reaction curve shifts up (e. g. he produces more noise) as his income increases, given any level of noise from Mr 2.
- iv. Show the effect that a simultaneous increase in each neighbor's income has on the Nash equilibrium of this game.

- a. Graphically, both reaction curves shift up as both recieve larger incomes.
- b. This tends to increase total noise production as each buys more powerful stereos, partly to drown out the other.