

Answers for Problems Assigned Lecture 9

I. Review Problems

A. Let R be the "reward from mutual cooperation," T be the "temptation of defecting from mutual cooperation," S be the "suckers payoff" if a cooperator is exploited by a defector, and P be the "Punishment from mutual defection." Show that in a two person game, relative payoffs of the ordinal ranking $T > R > P > S$ is sufficient to generate a prisoner's dilemma with mutual defection as the Nash equilibrium.

		Player B	
		Cooperate	Defect
Player A	Cooperate	R, R	S, T
	Defect	T, S	P, P

- ii. First, note that $T > R$ and $P > S$ is sufficient for each player to have a dominant strategy of "defection."
- iii. The dominate strategies imply a Nash equilibrium of Mutual Defection, which yields a payoff of (P, P).
- iv. Second, note that there is a dilemma at the Nash equilibrium in that a Pareto Superior move exists. Since $R > P$, both players prefer the equilibrium of mutual cooperation to that of mutual defection.
- v. These are the essential features of a Prisoner's Dilemma problem. QED

B. Suppose that the inverse demand curve for a good is $P = 100 - Q$ and that there are two producers. Acme has a total cost curve equal to $C = 5Q$ and Apex has a total cost curve of $C = 10Q$. Each firm controls its own output. Prices are determined by their combined production. *Characterize the Cournot-Nash equilibrium to this game.*

- i. Characterize the profit function for one of the firms:
 - a. $\Pi = PQ_1 - C_1 = (100 - Q_1 - Q_2)Q_1 - 5Q_1$ (for Acme)
 - b. Differentiating with respect to Q yields:
 - c. $100 - Q_2 - 2Q_1 - 5 = 0$ which implies that $Q_1^* = (95 - Q_2)/2$

- ii. Repeat this for the other firm:
 - a. $\Pi = PQ_1 - C_1 = (100 - Q_1 - Q_2)Q_1 - 10Q_1$ (for Apex)
 - b. Differentiating with respect to Q yields:
 - c. $100 - Q_2 - 2Q_1 - 10 = 0$ which implies that $Q_2^* = (90 - Q_1)/2$
 - d. (Note that this is not a symmetric game so their best reply functions are a bit different from one another.)
- iii. To find the Cournot-Nash Equilibrium, find where the two best reply functions can be satisfied simultaneously, e. g. where they intersect.
 - a. Substituting iic into ic yields:
 - b. $Q_1^{**} = (95 - [(90 - Q_1^{**})/2])/2$ or cross multiplying by 2 and gathering terms
 - c. $(50 + Q_1^{**}/2) = 2Q_1^{**}$ or
 - d. $(3/2)Q_1^{**} = 50$ which implies that
 - e. $Q_1^{**} = 33.33$
 - f. And, since $Q_2^{**} = (90 - Q_1^{**})/2$, $Q_2^{**} = (90 - 33.33)/2 = 28.33$

C. Suppose that there are two neighbors each of whom enjoy playing their own music loudly enough to annoy the other. Each maximizes a utility function defined over other consumption, C, the volume of their own noise, and that of their neighbor's (a bad), $U_i = u(C_i, N_i, N_j)$. Each has a budget constraint of the form $Y_i = C_i + N_i$.

- i. Characterize each neighbor's reaction function, and determine its slope.
 - a. Use the substitution method to imbed the constraint into the utility function
 - b. $U_1 = u(Y_1 - N_1, N_1, N_2)$
 - c. Differentiate with respect to control variable N_1 . (Remember that Y and N_2 are exogenous for Mr. 1)
 - d. $dU/dC_1 = U_{C_1}(-1) + U_{N_1} = 0 \equiv H$
 - e. so $N_1^* = n_1(Y, N_2)$ (This is Mr. 1's best reply or reaction function.)
 - f. The reaction function for Mr 2 can be derived in the same way:
 - $N_2^* = n_2(Y, N_1)$

- g. At the Nash equilibrium, $N_1^{**} = c_1(Y, N_2^{**})$ and $N_2^{**} = c_2(Y, N_1^{**})$ where $C_1^{**} = Y - N_1^{**}$ and $C_2^{**} = Y - N_2^{**}$
- h. That is to say at the Nash equilibria, both persons 1 and 2 will be simultaneously maximizing their utility, given equilibrium behavior of the other.
- ii. The slope of Mr 1's reaction function is the effect that an increase in N_2 has on his own optimal noise production.
- a. This can be determined using the implicit function differentiation rule (on ic and id).
- b.
$$N_1^*_{N_2} = H_{N_2} / -H_C = [U_{C_1N_2}(-1) + U_{N_1N_2}] / - [U_{C_1C_1} - 2U_{N_1C_1} + U_{N_1N_1}] > 0$$
- if $U_{C_1N_1} > 0$, $U_{N_1N_1} < 0$ and $U_{C_1C_1} < 0$
- and $U_{C_1N_2} < 0$ and $U_{N_1N_2} > 0$.
- c. (Only if the cross partials of the numerator have opposite signs can this slope be signed. It is likely that $U_{N_1N_2} > 0$ since the value of one's own noise (music) tends to increase its marginal value as a mask for other noises when the neighbors are being loud. $U_{C_1N_2} < 0$ seems natural if you think of "eating" while obnoxious music is playing, e. g. the obnoxious music would reduce the marginal utility of eating.)
- d. Given this geometry, the reaction curve of Mr 1 is upward sloping (e. g. he produces more noise) as his income increases.
- iii. What happens to neighbor 1's reaction function (N_1^*) if his income increases?
- a. This can be determined using the implicit function differentiation rule (on ic and id).
- b.
$$N_1^*_{Y} = H_Y / -H_C = [U_{C_1C_1}(-1) + U_{N_1C_1}] / - [U_{C_1C_1} - 2U_{N_1C_1} + U_{N_1N_1}] > 0$$
- if $U_{C_1N_1} > 0$, $U_{N_1N_1} < 0$ and $U_{C_1C_1} < 0$.
- c. So Mr 1's reaction curve shifts up (e. g. he produces more noise) as his income increases, given any level of noise from Mr 2.
- iv. Show the effect that a simultaneous increase in each neighbor's income has on the Nash equilibrium of this game.
- a. Graphically, both reaction curves shift up as both receive larger incomes.
- b. This tends to increase total noise production as each buys more powerful stereos, partly to drown out the other.